ON SINGULARITIES OF EVOLUTES OF CURVES IN THE PSEUDO-EUCLIDEAN PLANE

Viktória Bakurová¹

Comenius University, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics Mlynská dolina, 842 48 Bratislava, Slovak Republic,

e-mail: viktoria.bakurova@fmph.uniba.sk

Abstract. The aim of the paper is to classify singular points of arbitrary order of evolutes of curves in the pseudo-Euclidean plane. We point out a close connection of such singularities to higher-order vertices of base curves.

Keywords: Pseudo-Euclidean plane, curve, singular point, evolute.

1. Introduction

The concept of evolute is well-known in differential geometry of the Euclidean plane. In this paper, we discuss some properties of this object and classify singularities of these curves in the pseudo-Euclidean plane.

The pseudo-Euclidean plane $E^{1,1}$ is the real affine plane A^2 furnished with a nonsingular indefinite quadratic form $q(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the pseudo-scalar product. This pseudo-scalar product can be expressed as $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2$ in a suitable basis.

We say that **x** is a space-like vector or a time-like vector or a light-like vector if sgn $\mathbf{x} = 1$ or sgn $\mathbf{x} = -1$ or sgn $\mathbf{x} = 0$, respectively, where sgn $\mathbf{x} = \text{sgn } q(\mathbf{x})$. We shall make use of a perpendicularity operator $\mathbf{x} \to \perp \mathbf{x}$ which assigns the vector $\perp \mathbf{x} = (\text{sgn } \mathbf{x} x_2, \text{sgn } \mathbf{x} x_1)$ to a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$.

According to the type of the tangent vector at a point of a curve, the point is said to be a space-like or a time-like or a light-like point of the curve. We exclude all light-like points from all curves.

In the pseudo-Euclidean plane we can consider parametrized curves similarly as in the Euclidean plane. At every regular (and not light-like) point $P(t)$ of a curve we have the oriented Frenet frame consisting of vectors

$$
\mathbf{t}(t) = \frac{P'(t)}{|P'(t))|}, \qquad \mathbf{n}(t) = \perp \mathbf{t}(t)
$$

and the oriented curvature

$$
k(t) = \frac{\det(P'(t), P''(t))}{|\langle P'(t), P'(t) \rangle|^{\frac{3}{2}}}.
$$

Moreover, for a curve expressed in a unit speed parametrization it holds

$$
\mathbf{t}'(s) = k(s)\mathbf{n}(s)
$$

$$
\mathbf{n}'(s) = k(s)\mathbf{t}(s).
$$

We refer to [1] and [2] for more details about curves in the pseudo-Euclidean plane.

¹This project has been supported by the project UK/371/2012.

2. Evolute of a curve in the pseudo-Euclidean plane

As in the Euclidean plane, the evolute of a curve in the pseudo-Euclidean plane is the locus of all its centers of osculating circles (see [4], Chapter 8). From this we have the following definition:

Definition 1. *The evolute of a curve, with its inflexion and light-like points removed, is defined as the curve given by*

$$
E(t) = P(t) - \frac{1}{k(t)} \mathbf{n}(t).
$$
\n(1)

Recall that a vertex of a parametrized curve $P(t)$ is a point $P(t_0)$, in which the first derivate of curvature vanishes, i.e. $k'(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$. A point $P(t_0)$ is an ordinary vertex if $k'(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$ and $k''(t_0) \neq 0$. A vertex of a curve is a vertex of order l if the first l derivates of curvature vanishes, i.e. $k'(t_0) = \mathbf{0}, \dots, k^{(l)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$. A vertex of a curve is a vertex of order exactly l if it is a vertex of order l and in addition it holds $k^{(l+1)}(t_0) \neq \mathbf{0}$.

The next lemma shows us, how the first four derivates of evolute are expressed:

Lemma 1. *Consider a unit-speed parametrization of the curve. For the first four derivates of evolute of this curve it holds:*

$$
E^{(1)} = \frac{k'}{k^2} \mathbf{n}
$$

\n
$$
E^{(2)} = \frac{k'}{k} \mathbf{t} + \left(\frac{k''}{k^2} - 2\frac{(k')^2}{k^3}\right) \mathbf{n}
$$

\n
$$
E^{(3)} = \left(2\frac{k''}{k} - 3\frac{(k')^2}{k^2}\right) \mathbf{t} + \left(k' + \frac{k'''}{k^2} - 6\frac{k'k''}{k^3} + 6\frac{(k')^3}{k^4}\right) \mathbf{n}
$$

\n
$$
E^{(4)} = \left(3\frac{k'''}{k} - 14\frac{k'k''}{k^2} + 12\frac{(k')^3}{k^3} + k'k\right) \mathbf{t}
$$

\n
$$
+ \left(3k'' + \frac{k''''}{k^2} - 3\frac{(k')^2}{k} - 8\frac{k'k'''}{k^3} - 6\frac{(k'')^2}{k^3} + 36\frac{(k')^2k''}{k^4} - 24\frac{(k')^4}{k^5}\right) \mathbf{n}
$$

Proof. The assertion of the lemma can be easily shown using Frenet formulas in the pseudo-Euclidean plane.

To obtain an adequate expression for derivative $E^{(n)}$, $n > 4$, we use the class $P_{n,1}(k)$ of functions from [3]. We summarize briefly basic facts on that class.

Let P_n be the real vector space of all polynomial functions $\varphi(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ and let $P_{n,1}$ denotes its vector-subspace consisting of functions $\varphi(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ with the property $\varphi(x_0, 0, \ldots, 0) = 0$ for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

To the curvature $k(t)$ of the curve $P(t)$ defined on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and to any integer $n \geq 0$ we assigning the $(n + 1)$ -tuple of derivatives $(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n)})$ understood as a function $I \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. To every function $\varphi \in P_n$ or $\varphi \in P_{n,1}$ we get a one-variable function $\varphi(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n)}) : I \to \mathbb{R}, t \to \varphi(k^{(0)}(t), \ldots, k^{(n)}(t)).$

We denote the set of all such functions as $P_n(k)$ or $P_{n,1}(k)$, respectively. The most important properties of the classes $P_n(k)$ and $P_{n,1}(k)$ are following, see [3], Proposition 1. For every $n, m \geq 1$ it holds:

- if $\sigma \in P_{n,1}(k)$, $\tau \in P_m(k)$ then $\sigma \tau \in P_{n,1}(k)$
- if $\sigma \in P_{n,1}(k)$, $\tau \in P_{m,1}(k)$ then $\sigma + \tau$, $\sigma \tau \in P_{\max(n,m),1}(k)$
- $d(P_{n,1}(k)) \subseteq P_{n+1,1}(k)$ where $d : \sigma \to \sigma'$ is the derivate operator

Note that the last property follows from the chain rule, particularly.

The next theorem is a generalization of the previous lemma and it shows the expression for the derivation of arbitrary order of the evolute of a curve.

Theorem 2. *Let* E(t) *be an evolute of a curve* P(t) *expressed in unit speed parametrization. To every* $n \geq 4$ *there exist polynomial functions* $\alpha_n \in P_{n-2,1}$ *and* $\beta_n \in P_{n-1,1}$ *such that it holds:*

$$
E^{(n)} = \{ \left((n-1)k^n k^{(n-1)} + \alpha_n (k^{(0)}, \dots, k^{(n-2)}) \right) \mathbf{t} + \left(k^{n-1} k^{(n)} + \beta_n (k^{(0)}, \dots, k^{(n-1)}) \right) \mathbf{n} \} \frac{1}{k^{n+1}}.
$$

Proof. We use induction over n. For $n = 4$, the assertion is true in virtue of Lemma 1. Let the formula holds for *n*. Let us denote $a_n = (n-1)k^n k^{(n-1)} + \alpha_n(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n-2)})$ and $b_n = k^{n-1}k^{(n)} + \beta_n(k^{(0)}, \dots, k^{(n-1)})$ and differentiate:

$$
E^{(n+1)} = \begin{cases} (a_n \mathbf{t} + b_n \mathbf{n}) \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} \end{cases}
$$

= $(a'_n \mathbf{t} + a_n k \mathbf{n} + b'_n \mathbf{n} + b_n k \mathbf{t}) \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} + (a_n \mathbf{t} + b_n \mathbf{n}) \left(\frac{1}{k^{n+1}}\right)'$
= $(a'_n \mathbf{t} + a_n k \mathbf{n} + b'_n \mathbf{n} + b_n k \mathbf{t}) \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} + (a_n \mathbf{t} + b_n \mathbf{n}) \left(\frac{(-1)(n+1)k^{(1)}}{k^{n+2}}\right)$
= $\left\{ (ka'_n + k^2 b_n - (n+1)k^{(1)} a_n) \mathbf{t} + (k^2 a_n + k b'_n - (n+1)k^{(1)} b_n) \mathbf{n} \right\} \frac{1}{k^{n+2}}$

Compute the following expression:

$$
ka'_{n} + k^{2}b_{n} - (n+1)k^{(1)}a_{n} = n(n-1)k^{n}k^{(1)}k^{(n-1)} + (n-1)k^{n+1}k^{(n)} + k\gamma_{n}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n-1)})
$$

+

$$
k^{n+1}k^{(n)} + k^{2}\beta_{n}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n-1)})
$$

-
$$
(n-1)(n+1)k^{n}k^{(1)}k^{(n-1)} - (n-1)k^{(1)}\alpha_{n}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n-2)})
$$

=
$$
nk^{n+1}k^{(n)} + \alpha_{n+1}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n-1)}),
$$

where $\alpha_{n+1}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n-1)})$ = $n(n - 1)k^n k^{(1)} k^{(n-1)} + k \gamma_n(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n-1)})$ $+k^2\beta_n(k^{(0)},\ldots,k^{(n-1)})+(n-1)(n+1)k^nk^{(1)}k^{(n-1)}-(n-1)k^{(1)}\alpha_n(k^{(0)},\ldots,k^{(n-2)})$ denotes polynomial function of the class $P_{n-1,1}$.

Compute now the following expression:

$$
k^{2}a_{n} + kb'_{n} - (n+1)k^{(1)}b_{n} = (n-1)k^{n+2}k^{(n-1)} + k^{2}\alpha_{n}(k^{(0)},...,k^{(n-2)})
$$

+
$$
(n-1)k^{n-1}k^{(1)}k^{(n)} + k^{n}k^{(n+1)} + \delta_{n}(k^{(0)},...,k^{(n)})
$$

-
$$
(n+1)k^{n-1}k^{(1)}k^{(n)} - (n+1)k^{(1)}\beta_{n}(k^{(0)},...,k^{(n-1)})
$$

=
$$
k^{n}k^{(n+1)} + \beta_{n+1}(k^{(0)},...,k^{(n)}),
$$

PROCEEDINGS OF SYMPOSIUM ON COMPUTER GEOMETRY SCG' 2012, VOL pp. 0 - 0

where $\beta_{n+1}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n)})$ = $(n - 1)k^{n+2}k^{(n-1)} + k^2 \alpha_n(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n-2)})$ $+(n-1)k^{n-1}k^{(1)}k^{(n)} + \delta_n(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n)}) - (n+1)k^{n-1}k^{(1)}k^{(n)} - (n+1)k^{(1)}\beta_n(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(n-1)})$ denotes polynomial function of the class $P_{n,1}$.

Using these expressions we have:

$$
E^{(n+1)} = \{ (nk^{n+1}k^{(n)} + \alpha_{n+1}(k^{(0)}, \dots, k^{(n-1)})) \mathbf{t} + (k^n k^{(n+1)} + \beta_{n+1}(k^{(0)}, \dots, k^{(n)})) \mathbf{n} \}_{k^{n+2}}^T.
$$

The theorem is proved

I'm the uncorem is proved.

3. Classification of singularities of evolutes in the pseudo-Euclidean plane

In this section we discuss singularities of evolute of the curve in the pseudo-Euclidean plane. We classify singular points of the evolute according to the order of its singularity.

Let us remind that a singular point of a curve $P(t)$ is a point $P(t_0)$ for which it holds $P^{(1)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$. We say that a point $P(t_0)$ is a singular point of order n, $n \ge 1$ of a curve $P(t)$ only and only if $P^{(1)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}, \ldots, P^{(n)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$. A point $P(t_0)$ is a singular point of order exactly $n, n \ge 1$ if $P^{(1)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}, \dots, P^{(n)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$ and $P^{(n+1)}(t_0) \ne \mathbf{0}$. For the classification of singular points of a curve we need the next commonly used lemma:

Lemma 3. Let $P(t)$ be a curve and let $P(t_0)$ be a singular point of order exatly $k, k > 1$.

- *1. If* k is odd then the point $P(t_0)$ is an insignificantly singular point of the curve.
- *2. If* k *is even then the point* $P(t_0)$ *is a cusp of the curve.*
- 3. Let k be even and let l be the lowest natural number so that $P^{(k)}(t_0)$ and $P^{(l)}(t_0)$ are *linearly independent. Then the point* $P(t_0)$ *is a cusp of the first kind for* l *odd and a cusp of the second kind for* l *even.*

Let $P(t_0)$ be a vertex of a curve $P(t)$ of order exactly l. The next theorem shows us that the corresponding point $E(t_0)$ of the evolute of this curve is a singular point of order exactly l.

Theorem 4. Let $P(t_0)$ be a vertex of a curve $P(t)$ of order exactly l. For the first l derivates *of the evolute it holds that* $E^{(1)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}, \ldots, E^{(l)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$ and the derivates of evolute of order $l + 1$ *and* $l + 2$ *are linearly independent.*

Proof. A vertex $P(t_0)$ of order exactly l fulfills $k^{(1)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}, \dots, k^{(l)}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$ and $k^{(l+1)}(t_0) \neq$ 0. The derivate of order $m, 1 \le m \le l$ of the evolute of this curve has the following expression, see Theorem 2:

$$
E^{(m)} = \{ \left((m-1)k^m k^{(m-1)} + \alpha_l (k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(m-2)}) \right) \mathbf{t} + \left(k^{m-1} k^{(m)} + \beta_n (k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(m-1)}) \right) \mathbf{n} \} \frac{1}{k^{m+1}}.
$$

It is obvious that in this expression there are only derivates of curvature of maximal order l , so all the derivates of the evolute vanishes till order l. Compute the derivates of evolute of order $l + 1$ and $l + 2$:

$$
E^{(l+1)} = \{ (lk^{l+1}k^{(l)} + \alpha_{l+1}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(l-1)})) \mathbf{t} + (k^{l}k^{(l+1)} + \beta_{l+1}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(l)})) \mathbf{n} \} \frac{1}{k^{l+2}}.
$$

$$
E^{(l+2)} = \{ \left((l+1)k^{l+2}k^{(l+1)} + \alpha_{l+2}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(l)}) \right) \mathbf{t} + \left(k^{l+1}k^{(l+2)} + \beta_{l+2}(k^{(0)}, \ldots, k^{(l+1)}) \right) \mathbf{n} \} \frac{1}{k^{l+3}}.
$$

If we consider a vertex of order exactly l we get:

$$
E^{(l+1)}(t_0) = \{k^l k^{(l+1)} \mathbf{n}\} \frac{1}{k^{l+2}}
$$

$$
E^{(l+2)}(t_0) = \{(l+1)k^{l+2}k^{(l+1)}\mathbf{t} + k^{l+1}k^{(l+2)}\mathbf{n}\}\frac{1}{k^{l+3}}.
$$

The last two equations show that these derivates are linearly independent.

As in the Euclidean case, see [4], Lemma 9.2, the evolute of a curve in the pseudo-Euclidean plane has a cusp at the point corresponding to an ordinary vertex of the base curve, see [5]. The next theorem classifies singularities of arbitrary order.

Theorem 5 (Classification of singularities of evolutes). Let $P(t)$ be a curve and $E(t)$ be the *evolute of this curve. The singular points of evolute correspond to the vertices of the curve and it holds that if* $P(t_0)$ *is a vertex of an exact order* l *then the corresponding point* $E(t_0)$ *of the evolute is a singular point of the same order.*

In addition, the corresponding point $E(t_0)$ *of the evolute is a cusp of the first kind if order* l of the vertex $P(t_0)$ is odd, or it is an insignificantly singular point if order l of the vertex $P(t_0)$ *is even.*

Proof. The assertion about the order of singularities of evolute is clear from Theorem 4. Let us prove assertions about the kind of singularities of the evolute.

Consider a vertex $P(t_0)$ of order exactly l of a curve $P(t)$ while l is an odd number. The previous theorem shows that $l + 1$ is the lowest number satisfying $E^{(l+1)} \neq 0$. Because $l + 1$ is even, the corresponding point of the evolute is a cusp, see Lemma 3. It also holds that $E^{(l+2)} \neq 0$ and $E^{(l+1)}$ and $E^{(l+2)}$ are linearly independent so the considered point of the evolute is a cusp of a first kind because $l + 2$ is again odd.

Consider a vertex $P(t_0)$ of order exactly l of a curve $P(t)$ while l is an even number. The previous theorem shows that $l + 1$ is the lowest number satisfying $E^{(l+1)} \neq 0$. Because $l + 1$ is odd, the corresponding point of the evolute is an insignificantly singular point, see Lemma 3. \Box

Example 1. Let us consider the curve $P(t) = (\sinh t, t^5 + \cosh t)$. By some direct compu*tation, it can be shown that the point* $P(0) = (0, 1)$ *of this curve is a vertex of order exactly* 2*. So according to the Theorem 5, the corresponding point of the evolute* $E(0) = (0,0)$ *is an insignificantly singular point of the evolute because the order of that singular point is even.*

Example 2. Let us consider the curve $P(t) = (\sinh t, t^6 + \cosh t)$. It can be shown that the *point* P(0) = (0, 1) *of this curve is a vertex of order exactly* 3*. Again according to the Theorem 5 the corresponding point of the evolute* $E(0) = (0,0)$ *is a cusp of the first kind because the order of that singular point is odd.*

 $\overline{}$

PROCEEDINGS OF SYMPOSIUM ON COMPUTER GEOMETRY SCG' 2012, VOLUME 21 pp. 0 - 0

Fig. 1. (a) The evolute of the curve $P(t) = (\sinh t, t^5 + \cosh t)$, the point $E(0)$ of the evolute is an insignificantly singular point, squares indicate light-like and the dot an iflexion point of the curve.

(b) The evolute of the curve $P(t) = (\sinh t, t^6 + \cosh t)$, the point $E(0)$ of the evolute is a cusp of the first kind, squares indicate light-like points of the curve.

References

- [1] BAKUROVÁ, V. On Osculating Pseudo-circles of Curves in the Pseudo-Euclidean Plane In *Proceedings of Symposium on Computer Geometry SCG'2011.* Vol. 20. Bratislava : Slovenská technická univerzita. 2011.
- [2] BOŽEK, M. On Geometry of Differentiable Curves in the pseudo-Euclidean Plane In *Proceedings of Symposium on Computer Geometry SCG'2011.* Vol. 20. Bratislava : Slovenská technická univerzita. 2011.
- [3] BOŽEK, M., FOLTÁN, G. On Singularities of Arbitrary Order of Pedat Curves In *Proceedings of Symposium on Computer Geometry SCG'2012.* Submitted.
- [4] GIBSON, C.G. *Geometry of Differentiable Curves: an Undergraduate Introduction.* Cambridge University Press 2001. ISBN 0 521 80453 1.
- [5] SALOOM, A., TARI, F. Curves in the Minkowski plane and their contact with pseudocircles In *Geometriae Dedicata.* Volume 159. Number 1. Pages 109-124. 2012