# Segmentation and classification of fine art paintings from photographs

Tutor Elena Šikudová, PhD. Zuzana Haladová Comenius University

# PROBLEM

Text based Image search (90's)

Content based image retrieval systems (2000)

Semantic gap



# MOTIVATION

Great amount of art galleries on the Internet

Text based or no image retrieval

Different problem => we have an image and search for the name.



# APPROACH

Create a tool for classifying the photographs of paintings

Segment the painting from photograph

Match the painting with the database of paintings

Classify painting





# DATABASE

15 Original Rembrandt's paintings (Vienna, New York, Amsterdam)

100 Photographs taken by tourists in same galleries

Photograph contains:





PIPELINE





# SEGMENTATION

- 1. Gauss gradient
- 2. Anisotropic diffusion
- 3. Watershed



# **GAUSS GRADIENT**

- Convert image to grey scale and equalize the histogram
  Use Gauss gradient(computes Gx, Gy gradient
- images using the first order derivative of the Gaussian).









# **GAUSS GRADIENT**

3. Find lines with Hough transform in Gx,Gy images and connect or trim them based on their length.

4. Divide lines into upper, lower, left and right edges. Segment the painting as the smallest quadrilateral created from these lines.







# **ANISOTROPIC DIFFUSION**

- 1. Convert image to grey scale and equalize the histogram
- 2. Filter the image with Anisotropic diffusion and convolve with Sobel filter to find edges.







# **ANISOTROPIC DIFFUSION**

- 3. Find lines with Hough transform in Sx, Sy Sobel images.
- 4. Divide lines into upper, lower, left and right edges. Segment the painting as the smallest quadrilateral created from these lines.





# WATERSHED

- 1. Convert image to grey scale and equalize the histogram
- 2. Create top and bottom hat of the image
- 3. Create image Im2= (I+ tophat) -bottomhat
- 4. Create Im3 as extended minima (regional minima of the

H-minima transform) of Im2.







# WATERSHED

- 5. Make minima imposition from the complement of Im2 with the marker Im3.
- 6. Create clusters with watershed.
- 7. Final segmentation: grow the background from the corners.





# CLASSIFICATION

SIFT
 SURF





# SIFT

detector and a descriptor of features invariant to affine transformationsdetector identify IPs in the scale space (Difference of Gaussians)IPs are the local extremes in the 3x3x3 neighbourhood





# SIFT

for each **IP**: sample points are identified in the 16 neighbouring subregions and the size and the orientation of their gradient is computed and weighted by the Gaussian window. Orientation histogram is created from these values. **descriptor** for each **IP**: 8 values of orientation histogram for all 16 subregions (128 values)



128-element SIFT feature vector



# SURF

**detector** and a **descriptor** of features invariant to affine transformations **detector** identify IPs in the scale space (convolve the original image with the different scales of the box filters)

**IPs** are localised by a non maximum suppression in the 3x3x3 neighbourhood





# SURF

dominant orientation of the IP is extracted from the circular neighborhood as the longest vector estimated as the sum of all Haar-wavelet responses Square region around the IP is created and oriented along the **dominant** orientation and divided into 4x4 subregions In every subregion  $\Sigma dx$ ,  $\Sigma dy$ ,  $\Sigma |dx|$ ,  $\Sigma |dy|$  features are counted from 5x5 points descriptor for each IP have 4 values from 16 subregions (64) values



# MATCHING

For image (D1) we count best matching original (D2)

The matching value is the sum of rows of descriptor file D1 which has the value of the nearest neighbour from D2 < 0.6 \* second nearest neighbour

Classification to 16 classes: 15 originals + others Threshold for minimal matching value



# **RESULTS (SEGMENTATION)**

Method	Gauss	Anisotr.	Watershed
	gradient	Diffusion	
Correct	73%	89%	49%
segmentation			
Over	6%	3%	1%
segmentation			
Under	21%	8%	50%
segmentation			

#### **Best results: Anisotropic diffusion**





# PROBLEMS



# **RESULTS (CLASSIFICATION)**

Method	SIFT	SURF
threshold = 0	75%	73%
threshold = 6	88%	90%
threshold = 8	89%	88%
threshold = $12$	90%	82%

Method	SIFT	SURF
time of the	0,8125 s	0,32025 s
computation of one descriptor file		

#### **Best results: SURF**

# **FUTURE WORK**

Extension of the database of the originals Implementation in OpenCV Cooperation with the Olga's gallery





# REFERENCIES

[Bay et al., 2008] Bay, H., Ess, A., Tuytelaars, T., and Gool, L. V. (2008). Speeded-up robust features (surf). Computer Vision and Image Understanding, 110(3):346 – 359. Similarity Matching in Computer Vision and Multimedia.

[Lowe, 2002] Lowe, D. G. (2002). Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. International Journal of Computer Vision, 60(2):91–110.

[Militky, 2009] Militky, J. (2009). Image analysis and matlab.

http://centrum.tul.cz/centrum/itsapt/Summer2005/files/militky\_6.pdf.

[Perona and Malik, 1988] Perona, P. and Malik, J. (1988). Scalespace and edge detection using

anisotropic diffusion. Technical report, Berkeley, CA, USA.

[Xiong, 2009] Xiong, G. (2009). Gradient using first order derivative of gaussian.

http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/8060-gradient-using-firstorder-derivative-of-gaussian

# Thank you for your attention



# FreakingNews.com